

HOAXTEAD RESEARCH

Fact-finding to refute the scurrilous allegations, support the Hampstead community and expose the hoaxers

Restraining orders spell end of Hoaxtead

El Coyote / 20/07/2016

In the aftermath of Neelu and Sabine's 'not guilty' verdict on charges of conspiracy to commit witness intimidation, the Hoaxtead mob enjoyed a brief moment of gloating, writing [blog posts like Sabine's "Happy ending under the Shard!"](#) in which they celebrated their great victory.

On Monday, however, the dust had barely settled in Courtroom 2 at Blackfriars Crown Court [after that morning's extraordinary events](#) when HH Judge Worsley issued two restraining orders against the two erstwhile defendants. In all the excitement of the Hoaxtead promoters' apparent victory, few seemed to pay much attention to the restraining orders...except Belinda.

[Yesterday she wrote:](#)

Although the two defendants in the case have been found Not Guilty on all 5 counts on the indictment, a Restraining Order has been placed on them prohibiting them from any further speaking, blogging, twittering etc. about the case and there is to be no re-publication of any videos or articles relating to it. With effect from today, there is to be no contact, direct or indirect, indefinitely, that is to say in perpetuity, with any of the parties named or identified in the case by anyone not connected to them or to a certain church and school in the area in question, nor should any unconnected person ever set foot in a precise 200-300 metre radius of those establishments, as designated by a map attached to the Order.

Any breach of this Order will result in an instantaneous charge of Contempt of Court, for which the penalty following conviction could be a fine and/or up to 5 years' imprisonment.

The catch is that this Order applies not only to the two defendants but also to their 'AGENTS'. These 'agents' could be anyone who has had any involvement whatsoever in the case over the past nearly two years since the mother went public in September 2014, and whether or whether not, we fear, any connection can be established between them and the defendants. It will probably be deemed that there is most likely to be a connection with two people branded "delusional", "obsessional", "irrational" and "odd" in these latest proceedings, in a faithful echo of the judgment delivered in the family court in March 2015.

Belinda, it seems, has grasped what few of her minions seem to have understood: these restraining orders, once enforced, could very well spell the end of the Hampstead hoax.

For the past 18 months, Sabine, Neelu, and others have posted what they pleased — about RD, his two children, the '20 special children' and their families, the teachers and staff at the school, the clergy at the church, police officers, social workers, businesses — and have suffered very few legal repercussions.

Sure, some arrests have been made, but in the absence of convictions they've had almost no effect other than to convince the Hoaxtead mob that they are invincible and immune to any consequences. Emboldened, they've continued posting what they pleased.

The first hint that their reign of terror might be grinding to a halt came on Friday, when all three barristers, as well as the judge, agreed that the 'Hampstead case' has been a hoax from the outset.

In fact, one of the leading arguments in favour of the 'no case to answer' submissions on Friday was that while a normal, rational person might understand that posting witness statements online would serve to intimidate witnesses, the defendants in this case were not normal people. Words like 'delusional', 'obsessional', 'irrational', and 'odd' were used to describe the defendants and their oddball campaign.

In closing Friday afternoon, Judge Worsley remarked, in an almost off-handed way, that he was "minded to consider some restraining orders" against the defendants. This did offer a tiny flicker of hope to some of those watching the case unravel. But overall, the mood amongst those on the side of the prosecution was gloomy: it appeared that Neelu and Sabine would walk free, once again suffering no consequences for their actions.

It wasn't until the restraining orders were actually issued that things clarified themselves: the orders mean, finally, that those who've been maligned and had their lives turned upside-down by the Hoaxtead mob and their supporters are now able to take swift, decisive, and effective action against their harassers.


The wording of the orders means that not only Neelu and Sabine, but any of their 'agents' — in other words, anyone who can be shown to have been *acting on their behalf* — can be stopped in their tracks, should they choose to post defamatory material about any of the named individuals or the groups mentioned.

To clarify, 'anyone acting on their behalf' does not mean 'all other Hoaxtead promoters'. It does mean that if another person put up a post or video, for example, and it could be proven that they had done so on instruction from Sabine or Neelu, Sabine or Neelu would face the legal penalty.

Will there be a backlash? Quite probably. These people have been used to getting their own way for the past year and a half.

Will the backlash mean this thing explodes again, as it did after the Pauffley judgement in March 2015? Unlikely. The restraining orders will require vigilance on the part of those they protect, and it may take some time for the hoax to die out, but it is inevitable that it will.

Belinda is right to be feeling all bitter and twisted about the restraining orders: they mean her latest pet hoax is about to be flushed down the loo before her very eyes, and there is absolutely nothing she can do to stop it happening.



132 thoughts on “Restraining orders spell end of Hoaxtead”



babs 20/07/2016 at 5:01 am

Does this mean that any of us that have read about this Hoax are not allowed anywhere in the vicinity of the Church etc. Not that I have any intention of going there, as I don't?

I'm glad you've put up that email of Belinda's.

At least I can't be accused of being a spy, as she knows that email has not been sent to me!

To any of the Hoax crew reading this, GET A REAL LIFE, saddos.

I'm still thinking that Chasing Rainbows video of Angela Power Disney and Jake Clarke is going against the restraining orders, as the 2 children are mentioned by their 1st names in that video, by Angela I believe.

It seems it was recorded over the weekend, but nevertheless I believe it was put online after the 3pm timing of the Judge's Restraining Orders.

The pair of them should certainly be up in court charged with identifying the names of alleged sexual assault/rape victims.

Malcolm Blackman aka Joe Public (find him on facebook) was up on a similar charge of identifying an alleged rape victim and even that con man pleaded guilty as he knew there was evidence against him. He didn't even name the woman!

So I would have thought this pair are a slam dunk very easily.

It's going to be one of the only ways to stop these Hoax weirdos.

Angela's grooming of Jake Clarke is shockingly despicable.

I know he may be nearly 30, but he's clearly a Vulnerable Adult under the Mental Health definition.

It is so blatant that she is setting him up to do her dirty work that she is just too frightened to do herself.

Angela is clearly aware of the consequences.

How psychopathic of her.

I can't stand the woman, she's a nasty piece of work all round.

I can't think of one redeeming feature about the fraudster.

If she beat her own children, of course she'd throw this Vulnerable Adult under a bus.

His Social Worker, Parents, Siblings, Mental Health Team, Police etc. need to know what she is doing...

★ Liked by [3_people](#)

Gabriella Barney 20/07/2016 at 6:32 am

That video also includes Jake illegally slandering a named police officer, stating that he has links with convicted paedophiles. Literally within minutes of that interview being uploaded, we'd informed the officer in question of Jake's unacceptable comments.

And both he and Angie repeatedly slander Mr. Dearman (and the "logic" they use to "prove" that all of us are his sock accounts is hilarious – e.g. Danielle asked Angie how reporting her had worked out for her, ergo she must be Ricky D). I would urge Mr. Dearman to report them.

★ Liked by [5_people](#)



Spiny Norman 20/07/2016 at 6:34 am

If I were to put my money on who the next arrest will be, it would have to be Jake. A cat has 9 lives but a pussy has just one. (Hey, that's not bad, that – I must remember that one.)

Of course, lest we forget the one group of people that will probably carry on regardless – those living abroad. Abe, Ella, Angela, Kachina, Aaron Dumber, Droopert the Quaint, Kristie Sue Plonka, Kane Slagheap...But at least we can console ourselves in the fact that they can ONLY post their shit from abroad and can never step foot in Blighty without being arrested.

★ Liked by [4_people](#)



Common Porpoise 20/07/2016 at 6:57 am

Fair play to Rupert, though – he's managing to be in 3 different countries at the same time!



Rupert Wilson Quintance at Amsterdam.

18 July at 14:56 · Amsterdam, Netherlands ·



Rupert Wilson Quintance in Venice, Italy.

18 July at 14:43 ·



★ Liked by [3_people](#)



satanicviews 20/07/2016 at 8:14 am

The image of Rupert is creepy.

★ Liked by [1_person](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 10:04 am

It HAD to be done.....



Rupert Wilson Quintance at Amsterdam.

18 July at 14:56 · Amsterdam, Netherlands ·



Rupert Wilson Quintance in Venice, Italy.

18 July at 14:43 ·



★ Liked by [4_people](#)



Fairly Sane 20/07/2016 at 10:18 am

Brilliant work Joe!

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Mik 20/07/2016 at 11:20 am

An absolute classic capture 😊😊😊

★ Liked by [3 people](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 9:34 am

FFS! – THAT wee stick insect is trying to scare people with his 'Kung fu'??? Jeezzz! A stiff breeze could carry him out to sea!

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

Gabriella Barney 20/07/2016 at 5:13 pm

I wonder if he's let her have a tug on his bong yet.

★ Like



Fairly Sane 20/07/2016 at 9:49 am

It looks like he is six inches tall and standing on Angie's shoulder.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 10:21 am

Can't take the credit I'm afraid FS, one of the girls in graphics knocked it up in about two minutes!

★ Liked by [3 people](#)

Gabriella Barney 20/07/2016 at 5:14 pm

Hahaha! Tell her nice job XD

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Fanny Adams 20/07/2016 at 6:59 pm

He doesn't look very muscular to me.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 7:05 pm

The phrase we use in Scotland is 'well-sooked straw'.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:11 pm

Does this mean that any of us that have read about this Hoax are not allowed anywhere in the vicinity of the Church etc.?

No, the restraining orders apply only to Sabine and Belinda. However, if someone like Angie, just as an example, could be shown to be acting on instructions from either Sabine or Belinda, and this could be proven in court, then it would be considered that Angie was acting 'as an agent' of that person (S or N), and that person would be considered to have breached the order.

This isn't going to stop the random loonies who spout off about the case, but it will severely cut into the distribution of false allegations against the people named in the restraining orders. As well, it could very well serve as an example for future court actions against others.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



anna 21/07/2016 at 3:59 am

Could you clarify why the parents of the pupils aren't included in the order? Seems an odd omission, or am I missing something here?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 21/07/2016 at 4:26 am

You're right, it's strange—but the defence barristers argued that a separate order for the parents would be better done by another court on another day, and the judge agreed.

★ Like



Anonymous 20/07/2016 at 6:35 am

The police and CPS must have worked very hard over the weekend to craft the orders.

But maybe the judge started thinking about their use as soon as the court started with the request that he dismiss himself or some such.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:14 pm

I think it was clear to all concerned from the outset that this would not be a 'normal' criminal trial. I believe the CPS were asked to consider over the weekend what they would like to see included, and their recommendations were used.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Anonymous 20/07/2016 at 7:16 am

Could someone clarify this for me...

What if people known to be associated with these two mad people, BM, mother, boyfriend, Krista woman, Jake guy, Fag Ash Lil (agents) etc, etc

And they post material online, would NB and SM be found guilty with this order?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:16 pm

If it could be shown in a court of law that those you mention were acting on instruction from Sabine or Neelu, then Sabine or Neelu would be considered guilty of breaching the order.

★ Like



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 1:17 pm

No, just being associated as friends and posting something will not be affected by the Restraining Order. It would have to be proven to be on the instruction from Neelu or Sabine.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Ghost of Sam 20/07/2016 at 2:06 pm

But they will be guilty of breaching the original High Court order which applies to everyone and if they mention names then either the sexual offenses act and harassment laws come into play.

This is not over by a long shot.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



ShevaBurtoncrossofchange 20/07/2016 at 8:07 am

Reblogged this on [ShevaBurton. Cross of Change Blog](#).

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



satanicviews 20/07/2016 at 8:29 am

The Satan Hunters have suffered the killing blow to their Hampstead hoax. An appropriate video of celebration:

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Lawyer 20/07/2016 at 11:05 am

Dear All,

I am sorry to pass on sad news but your interpretation of the restraining order is wrong.

An order can only bound those who it is named against, in this case Ms McNeill and Berry. It can not be used against others for the simple reason they are not subject to it.

Either named party will only be in breach of the order if their agent publishes something on their behalf. In other words; the crown would have to prove to the criminal standard that they instructed that person/agent to publish on their behalf.

I do hope that gives you some clarity on what the order actually means. You are welcome to confirm as such with the Officer who was in court.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 11:33 am

Yes, I'm sorry if we did not spell this out properly from the start. Thanks for clarifying. I've tried to be more clear in the post about the issue of 'acting as an agent'; I hope this helps.

★ Like



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 1:21 pm

I thought that was exactly what you said in your post, EC?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:22 pm

In the original version I didn't spell it out as clearly. I've added a sentence to make sure it's properly understood now.

★ Like



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 1:25 pm

Ah, I see.. I've just logged on as it's lunchtime at work. So I saw the edited version. Looks like Belinda is the only one who sees the seriousness in all this. Time will tell...

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:35 pm

I think Sabine might have finally caught on, as her latest blog post has a distinctly bitter tone to it. 😊

<https://nationalinquiry.wordpress.com/2016/07/20/to-deny-satanic-cults-or-not-to-deny-them-and-why-are-these-the-questions/>

★ Liked by [2 people](#)

Gabriella Barney 20/07/2016 at 5:19 pm

"I am restrained from making public anything about satanism, cannibalism and child sexual abuse relating to a certain place in the UK, after I helped to be the voice for voiceless children as victims."

Pffft. Keep digging, Sabby. Hope you like prison food.

★ Liked by [3 people](#)

Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 8:44 pm

On Sabine's blog she says;

"After lots of cover-ups of these criminal activities, members of this Cult had used a female Police Constable to stitch me up. Unfortunately, she cocked up as she had not kept her records of the investigation up to date. Out of revenge the Cultists cut the telephone cables leading to my flat and thus cut me off from the internet"

Is that not her already putting her foot in it? Referring to the Hampstead residents as a Cult and Cultists?

★ Liked by [2 people](#)

El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 11:03 pm

It seems to me that it is, but I'm no legal expert.

★ Like



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 8:51 pm

Also, if any of the people on her blog leave comments regarding anyone or anything mentioned in the Restraining Order, then we can screenshot it and

report it to the Police as she will have approved their comment, therefore, by her approving it, does that not breach the terms of the RO? Am I correct?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 1:45 pm

This is pretty much what the Paralegal, two Police Officers and a former media law lecturer who are among our group have all said. 😞 The consensus up here is that the whole thing was a complete bloody shambles, reflects very badly on the CPS and, like the Robert Green case before it, leaves much open to question with respect to the hoaxing activities of 'McKenzie & Co' – Teflon coated hoaxers to the elite it seems! >:-(

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Pedant 20/07/2016 at 3:37 pm

Dear Lawyer,

I'm sorry to pass on sad news but it's 'bind', not 'bound'.

★ Like



hamster research 21/07/2016 at 4:12 pm

"Contacting directly or indirectly, by her self or by any person acting as her agent or by any means.."
So The orders tates clearly that even if someone else post anything in relation to Christchurch and of course it has to be proven that they have acted as Agents of the Named person.

★ Like



Mik 20/07/2016 at 12:38 pm

Although this ill founded ship of fools was always going to maroon itself into a rocky outcrop it must be said that Mr Taj put on a virtuoso performance when he grabbed the tiller and led the whole shebang careering over the nearest waterfall.



★ Liked by [3 people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 12:44 pm

It was definitely a virtuoso performance.

★ Like



sassyprivacy 20/07/2016 at 1:09 pm

Please STOP hoaxers. Plz stop it. RD wants to sleep without getting harassed. The children want to relax with their foster parents.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 1:23 pm

I just hope the children are not surfing the net.. the amount of videos still out there about Mr Dearman and his children is absolutely atrocious and disgusting.

★ Liked by [3 people](#)



sassyprivacy 20/07/2016 at 1:29 pm

Me too. I think they should get name changes. That way when they grow up, people will be less likely to bring up the hoax. They are less likely to be tracked up and harassed, if the hoaxers can't know their current identities. You know cause their identities are full public information due to the hoaxers.

★ Like



YdychyncachuTracey 20/07/2016 at 1:38 pm

It has certainly been considered before in a case with public notoriety. One that Sabine got her sticky mitts on of course.

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2014/962.html>

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



sassyprivacy 20/07/2016 at 1:42 pm

Yes. A surname change would be a great happiness to these children.

★ Like



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:56 pm

Yes, name changes are not as simple as one might think.

★ Like



YdychyncachuTracey 20/07/2016 at 2:08 pm

And would have a profound impact on children. Something that would need careful consideration.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 1:47 pm

Yes, I've thought that for a while. New names. Their faces will change as they grow older, so it would be ideal. They would still see the dreadful videos on the internet though and awful accusations about their Father.

★ Like



Ghost of Sam 20/07/2016 at 1:47 pm

Yes I think with a change of last name and as they become teenagers their looks will change dramatically I doubt they could be identified by the public. But everyone should be on the ball: this mob have shown total disregard for the original High Court order which still applies and I don't think they will respect the new criminal restraining order.

It is however far more serious now. Just because someone is not an agent of the 2 mentioned does not mean they can be charged under harassment laws if they are in the UK.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Ghost of Sam 20/07/2016 at 1:48 pm

"cannot be charged"..etc etc..2 glasses of wine with dinner so I have an excuse tonight

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:59 pm

That's right, Sam. I think this case opens the door to further pursuit of justice for others involved in spreading false allegations about the Hampstead families, teachers, clergy, et al.

★ Like



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 2:11 pm

There have been legal remedies of that type available from the start of this fiasco! – The CPS instead chose to take the convoluted and incompetent route they did! If there is evidence of anything, it's that the CPS and other authorities are complicit in allowing these hoaxes to 'fester'. – The laws are there; what agenda is served by failing or refusing to enforce them?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 1:36 pm

I agree.

★ Like



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 1:47 pm

The question is why the fuck are the CPS not moving against those who are breaching the Sexual Offences Act? – Why in God's name are they not taking those hypocrites at Google on?

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 3:14 pm

Yes, I think those are approaches that should have been taken early on.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Anonymous 20/07/2016 at 1:45 pm

Q: So how exactly does this prevent Sabine or Neelu anonymously posting material?

A: It doesn't.

Great "win" there, thanks Judge.....

★ Like



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 3:24 pm

Have Neelu or Sabine ever been known to post anonymously? I think part of the satisfaction they derive from their activities is the public approval they get from their equally deluded followers. That said, of course it's possible for anyone to publish anonymously. No restraining order in existence can cover that possibility.

★ Like



Fairly Sane 20/07/2016 at 3:36 pm

Most of the Hoaxers think they are Bond villains; they feel the need to explain their secret plots to the world, preferably by YouTube video, before putting them into action.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 3:44 pm

Yes, they're always playing to their audience. Constitutionally incapable of keeping their thoughts inside their own heads.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Fairly Sane 20/07/2016 at 4:10 pm

I was hoping the gagging and restraining order might have looked a bit more like this:



★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 4:12 pm

LOL!

★ Like



Spiny Norman 20/07/2016 at 10:34 pm

Time to wheel out a vintage meme...



★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Jake Blake 20/07/2016 at 1:50 pm

Not just a surname change, Sassy. They are known by their first names world-wide now, thanks to the bloody hoaxers.

Change of first name and surname would be the best result for those poor children. Their future is not going to be easy when they access computers.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



mmefustercluck 21/07/2016 at 9:33 pm

JB, isn't it possible – if not probable – that they'll eventually end up in their father's legal custody anyway (until reaching college age, at least)? And that a relocation to another country (based on suggestions made in the past, most likely to the U.S.) is also a strong possibility? I've always been confused on this custodial point and, as usual, have more questions to pose than points to make. But if they moved to America with him, for example – where nearly 400 million people live, and a far smaller segment of the population is familiar with the case than in the UK – wouldn't their anonymity be a far safer bet, name change or not?

Hope that made at least a molecule of sense...

★ Like



mmefustercluck 21/07/2016 at 10:24 pm

P.S. Over the past year, I've asked many friends from a number of states if they've heard about the hoax; these are well-educated people who stay on top of things, and only one has answered 'yes'. She only knew sketchy details, too. It's a totally different story among friends across the pond, of course, esp. in the U.K...

★ Like



coyotepup5000 20/07/2016 at 4:46 pm

So... Can I start preparing the choreography for the victory dance yet?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 10:53 pm

Sure, why not? We coyotes are well known for our dance moves.

★ Like



Spiny Norman 20/07/2016 at 4:57 pm

What...the...f*ck...is she wearing?!

By the way, this video comes with a health warning – Angie sings in this one.

Mercifully, however, the sound quality is so shit that you won't hear her very clearly anyway.

★ Liked by [3_people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 5:07 pm

Her weird BDSM get-up has now scarred me for life. Some things cannot be unseen.

★ Liked by [1_person](#)



mmefustercluck 21/07/2016 at 9:39 pm

I noticed the very same thing about her dominatrix get-up and messy hair, EC...and Roop is presumably still running around the place in various states of undress/sporting goggles. I shudder to think...

★ Liked by [1_person](#)



Fanny Adams 20/07/2016 at 6:53 pm

She's forgot to brush her hair.

The just got out of bed look.

I reckon she's been busy with Rupert and it was a disappoint for her.

She's worn those gloves before, but what's with the watch over them?

Tres strange.

★ Liked by [2_people](#)



Mik 20/07/2016 at 8:28 pm

what's with the watch over them?

Apparently boudoirs operate on 15 minutes sessions,timing is everything.

★ Liked by [2_people](#)



Fanny Adams 20/07/2016 at 9:18 pm

Ooo ah!

★ Liked by [1_person](#)



Spiny Norman 20/07/2016 at 10:36 pm

Indeed she has! Check out this old MK classic...

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



dave 20/07/2016 at 8:08 pm

I knew i'd seen that ring before.



Now we know who picked it up.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Mik 20/07/2016 at 8:18 pm

Angies ring? I can forseer the droopy one eyeing that up.Nah best not go there....

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Mik 20/07/2016 at 8:32 pm

Singing can now formally be added to the extensive list on talents Angie lacks,Dont give up the day job
Angie,oh I forgot she doesnt have one...or does she?

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 10:55 pm

Those gloves say 'yes'.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Angie's Fag 21/07/2016 at 12:37 pm

I see she has her infamous black spanking gloves on, i imagine she has given Rupert the happy ending he was hoping for.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



mmefustercluck 21/07/2016 at 10:02 pm

Mercifully, I can barely hear a thing, but she really does have that look of post-flogging bliss on her face. Appears to be buzzed as well...wish I could tell if she was slurring her words !

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 5:29 pm

That IS just plain fucking weird..... What with the stick man wandering about in his scanties..... Maybe she's been attending a dogging event up at the local park? Is that not one of those things those strange 'keys in the rosebowl' swingers do? – Dress up in bizarre evening wear for the festivities?? Either that or the repulsive old sag-bag is playing the part of 'mummy dearest' for Rupiekins.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Fairly Sane 20/07/2016 at 5:43 pm

I think that must be her dominatrix spanking gauntlet.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

Gabriella Barney 20/07/2016 at 5:30 pm

Ooh, sounds like someone's had a Facebook warning 😊



Angela Power-Disney

1 hr · 🌐

I just got a [#strike](#) on my HERE, I WANNA SHOW YOU SOMETHING license lol !

★ Liked by [3 people](#)



Joe Kerr 20/07/2016 at 5:52 pm

"HERE, I WANNA SHOW YOU SOMETHING license" ? Yeah, I seem to remember back in the 70s there was a creepy old man who hid up the closes in Easterhouse trying to convince little kids he had one of those.... I hear he was 'struck off' with a meat cleaver.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 6:09 pm

Does her 'HERE, I WANNA SHOW YOU SOMETHING license' involve old-lady bondage gear? If she posted that shot of herself on Facebook it's not surprising she'd get a strike.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Fairly Sane 21/07/2016 at 10:23 am

She posted a photo of her midriff a week or so ago that was not something you want to see when you are eating.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



daveyone1 20/07/2016 at 6:38 pm

Reblogged this on [World4Justice : NOW! Lobby Forum.](#)

★ Like



Mik 20/07/2016 at 6:52 pm

Mutton dressed up as er Mutton.
Maybe Roopervert is looking to bolster his funds and is pimping Angie into swinging her hips in her window under red light Hampsterdam style.
For those currently eating I duly apologize.,

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Mik 20/07/2016 at 7:00 pm

Chortle fest —>

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



Mik 21/07/2016 at 12:00 am

Yannis I am presuming once again not mincing his words. Personally I am just awaiting the scene where aging dominatrix Angie thrashes Roopy Poopy within an inch of his life with a T square with an orange gaffa taped to his mouth.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Angie's Fag 21/07/2016 at 12:51 pm

Ruperts desperate begging makes me sick. Go do some work and earn your own cash dickhead.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 21/07/2016 at 12:53 pm

But...but he might have to get his hands dirty! How can you be so cruel!?

★ Like



Fairly Sane 21/07/2016 at 2:47 pm

He did have that job as a hotel "Sales Administrator". He even got mentioned in TripAdvisor reviews:

I have nothing good to say about this hotel and specially its staff and manager. ... I have called several times and sent emails to Wilson Quaintance (Sales administrator) and ... (General Manager). Neither one has responded to any either by phone or email. I have never encountered such lack of professionalism and dishonesty.

And before that he had the job as an internet radio host; until he was sacked for being continuously drunk. You can't say he has never had a job; working would seem to be another matter.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



El Coyote 21/07/2016 at 2:54 pm

Important distinction.

★ Like



Fanny Adams 20/07/2016 at 8:01 pm

Ha ha ha, there's a market for everything!

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



mmefustercluck 21/07/2016 at 10:08 pm

You've just reminded me of a hilarious documentary I saw a few years ago called (something like) 'Meet the Fokkens' – it follows the lives of twin geriatric (BLONDE) hookers in Amsterdam !

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Mik 21/07/2016 at 10:22 pm

Yes there is still just enough time for Angie to eek out a career and earn an honest crust.OMG hope Angie hasnt got a twin sister...brain meltdown territory.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



mmefustercluck 22/07/2016 at 8:15 am

Haha – that’s the one ! BINGO ! Thanks for posting, Mik 😊

★ Like



mmefustercluck 22/07/2016 at 8:38 am

P.S. Let’s not forget: there’s a shitload of cloning going down in MilesjohnstonWorld, a place Ms. P-Disney has been known to frequent for ages. God only knows how many Angies roam the planet ! This could answer head-scratching mysteries surrounding her alleged Dublin Airport appearance while still in Lanzarote and oh, so much more. I’ll close on a particularly chilling thought: perhaps Roop was able to be in Venice, Amsterdam and Angie’s living room simultaneously because (*cue ‘Twilight Zone’ theme music*) THREE ROOPERTS dwell among us, possibly many more, in the form of “sooper-souljas” !

★ Like



Fairly Sane 22/07/2016 at 12:12 pm

That explains his Twitter handle, @RuperTwins!

Maybe there will soon be an @RuperTriplets.

★ Like



Joe Kerr 22/07/2016 at 12:01 pm

.....Oh Dear. Well that’s put me off my lunch!

★ Like



Spiny Norman 20/07/2016 at 10:30 pm

Kevin "I was a gangsta" Weaver "proving" that all courts are run by horrid wicked witches because...er...they use Latin phrases like in 'Harry Potter'.
#Yup

Rolls eyes

★ Liked by [3_people](#)



Mik 20/07/2016 at 10:45 pm

Harrus Potter et matrem vigilantem membrana profecto quam facere eumque congue.Absolute tristis stultum.

★ Liked by [3_people](#)



Common Porpoise 20/07/2016 at 10:54 pm

Kevus Weaverum est arseholeus.

★ Liked by [2_people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 11:00 pm

Dear God. This kind of ignorance makes me want to bang my head against the wall. And last I heard, Kev was home-schooling his unfortunate children.

★ Liked by [2_people](#)

Gabriella Barney 20/07/2016 at 11:03 pm

Home indoctrination by Kevin WeAreBrainwashers

★ Liked by [1_person](#)



Fairly Sane 21/07/2016 at 4:14 pm

They keep bringing up the Cestui Que Vie Act 1666. They seem to think this declared all citizens dead and allowed the City of London to confiscate their assets. I'm not a lawyer, but it seems pretty obvious to me that this is a fairly straight forward legal tool to allow the families of people who have been abroad and out of contact for a number of years to claim their estates. It seems a very sensible bit of legislation.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Cha2/18-19/11>

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Mik 21/07/2016 at 4:45 pm

The fact that the act arose in a year involving 666 probably has some meaningful attraction to wastrels whom exhibit such a fragile relationship with actuality.

“I fear the day that technology will surpass our human interaction. The world will have a generation of idiots.”

— Albert Einstein

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Fairly Sane 22/07/2016 at 12:15 pm

“Don't believe everything you read on the internet just because it is attributed to a famous name.”

– Albert Einstein

★ Like



Joe Kerr 22/07/2016 at 11:17 am

– As if the home-schooling movement isn't under enough pressure!

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



YdychyncachuTracey 20/07/2016 at 11:03 pm

Have you seen the recent uploads to that channel? Let's just say, a band with 14 and 88 in it's name.

What a horrible person.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



YdychyncachuTracey 20/07/2016 at 11:05 pm

All this anti-Semitism, why? And Sabine and Belinda admire these sort of people?

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 20/07/2016 at 11:19 pm

I agree—it's a disturbing aspect of the thing.

★ Like



Mik 20/07/2016 at 11:19 pm

What better smokescreen for those engaged in malificent nefarious activities than to portray themselves as the voice for defenseless children.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

Joe Kerr 21/07/2016 at 12:06 am

quod dicit verba stercore

★ Liked by [2 people](#)

Sian 24/07/2016 at 3:41 pm

How wonderful for scholars of pseudo-law, a hybrid of witchcraft and freeman on the land ideas. I particularly liked the pre-emptive strike against anyone who might check the etymology of "prosecutor": dictionaries have been taken over by the witches. And to think that "summons" means "demons". I wonder if Neelu Berry knew that when she applied for one against the Queen, Lord Chief Justice etc?

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

Mik 21/07/2016 at 12:14 am

Non potui plus conveniunt loquitur de homine est perfecta Wanker anum .

★ Liked by [2 people](#)

Order Of Truth 21/07/2016 at 12:32 am

This 'verdict' is ridiculous. Considering the mountain of evidence – especially against McNeill – a 'restraining order' is going to make little or no difference to the actions of the idiot hoards who follow them.

The people of Hampstead who were (and still are) subjected to the actions of these idiots have not seen justice served at all.

★ Like



YdychyncachuTracey 21/07/2016 at 1:07 am

That's the problem. They may be justifiably not guilty of those charges, but it doesn't seem like justice to me.

I'm almost hoping they can't keep their noses clean from now on.

★ Liked by [2 people](#)



El Coyote 21/07/2016 at 1:10 am

I suspect it'll be a matter of days before one or both of them breach their restraining orders. When that happens we will find out exactly how many teeth the orders have.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Order Of Truth 22/07/2016 at 11:04 am

Yes – understand where you are coming from there.

It seems the prosecution case was very weak considering all of the evidence that was available to them.

We shall see.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)



Joe Kerr 22/07/2016 at 11:18 am

“It seems the prosecution case was very weak considering all of the evidence that was available to them.”

Suspiciously so.

★ Liked by [1 person](#)

Pingback: [Sabine or Neelu: Who'll breach first? | HOAXTEAD RESEARCH](#)

Pingback: [Sabine's latest anti-Semitic hate-mongering | HOAXTEAD RESEARCH](#)

Pingback: [The consequences of no consequences | HOAXTEAD RESEARCH](#)

Comments are closed.

Search this blog

Blog stats

1,381,398 hits

Follow us by email

Enter your email address to follow this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Join 170 other followers

Follow

Recent Comments

[El Coyote](#) on [Welcome to the web: Becki Perc...](#)



JakeBlake on [Welcome to the web: Becki Perc...](#)



El Coyote on [Welcome to the web: Becki Perc...](#)



Ringwind's Shrink on [Ella takes a polygraph test](#)



El Coyote on [Ella takes a polygraph test](#)



David Howard on [Ella takes a polygraph test](#)



Steved on [Welcome to the web: Becki Perc...](#)



Steved on [Welcome to the web: Becki Perc...](#)



Issy T. on [Angela barks up the wrong tree...](#)



Ghost of Sam on [Welcome to the web: Becki Perc...](#)

Last 10 posts

[Welcome to the web: Becki Percy, Vicky Ash, & the UK SRA pushers](#) 23/06/2018

[Angela barks up the wrong tree...again](#) 22/06/2018

[Tiny Magical Creatures is on the slander train again](#) 21/06/2018

[Becki Percy follows a well-worn path](#) 20/06/2018

[The decline and fall of Becki Percy, SRA claimant](#) 19/06/2018

[Conflict of interest: Judith Dawson, Beatrix Campbell, and Broxtowe](#) 18/06/2018

[Satanic hysteria: Which came first, adult claimants or child victims?](#) 17/06/2018

[Three cheers for Mr Tinribs!](#) 16/06/2018

[Not always black & white: On guilt by association](#) 15/06/2018

[Broxtowe and Hoaxtead: Witch-hunters in action](#) 14/06/2018

Follow us on Twitter

Tweets by @HoaxteadR

El Coyote Retweeted



Sarah Phillimore
@SVPhillimore

Replying to @SVPhillimore and 5 others


I can't comment on Angies page but it will let me choose an emoticon!





22h

El Coyote Retweeted




Replying to @Barthsnotes

Two cases in mind: Andrew Arbutnot and Chris Brain. barthsnotes.com/2015/10/08/bis...

	<p>Bishop Peter Ball and Failures to Tackle Manipulative Abuse in the C... From the Church Times: Bishop [Peter] Ball, aged 83, was jailed after a h... barthsnotes.com</p>
--	---

Jun 22, 2018

El Coyote Retweeted



NEW POST: Police Drop Perverting the Course of Justice Complaint Against John Hemming Accuser wp.me/p21TEW-4Uk

	<p>Police Drop Perverting the Course of Justice Complaint Against Joh... From the Daily Mail: A former MP has launched a scathing attack on 'polit... barthsnotes.com</p>
--	---

Jun 22, 2018

El Coyote Retweeted




Replying to @MikeandMungo and 5 others

O I see! I do apologise you mad weirdos - i thought you meant I retweeted @HoaxteadR more than anyone else full stop, not more than anyone out of small list of fellow crazed Baby Eaters. As you were.

Jun 22, 2018


El Coyote Retweeted



@@SVPhillimore @HoaxteadR @Maxalfie48 @views_s Seems that this Angie women is not just Harassing this guy, seems she think your a Satanic leader! ?

Angie Power-Disney 7 min

Who did you tell addresses had been secured #StephenKeys?
 Who is your handler?
 Is it Auntie #SarahPhillimoreQC??
 She who recently cleaned up her twitter account?
 She who tweeted and re-tweeted #ElCoyote more than anyone else??
ARE YOU AN APPRENTICE SATANIST Stephen?
ARE YOU BEING BLACKMAILED OR IS CYBER HARASSMENT YOUR



Blogs we like

[9/11 CultWatch](#)

[B.F.M.S. Website](#)
[Barrister Blogger](#)
[Barth's Notes](#)
[Becki Percy Blogs](#)
[Child Protection Resource](#)
[Crazy Demon Lady](#)
[Cross of Change: The Hampstead SRA Case](#)
[Dysgenics](#)
[Dysgenics Report \(not to be confused with Dysgenics\)](#)
[Little Rascals Daycare Case](#)
[Metabunk](#)
[Pizzagate: Anatomy of a Fake News Scandal](#)
[Quatloos](#)
[RationalWiki: Ella Draper](#)
[Riddled Blog](#)
[S.A.F.F.: Sub-culture Alternatives Freedom Foundation](#)
[Standing with HONR Network](#)
[Swallowing the Camel](#)
[Sword and Scale: Episode 51](#)
[The Accidental Anarchist](#)
[The Anna Raccoon Archives](#)
[The Latest News: The tragedy of Sabine McNeill](#)
[The Outlaw](#)
[The Psychology of Conspiracy Theories](#)

Today's most viewed posts

[Angela barks up the wrong tree...again](#)
[Welcome to the web: Becki Percy, Vicky Ash, & the UK SRA pushers](#)
[Tiny Magical Creatures is on the slander train again](#)
[Ex-Met Detective John Wedger provides final nail in Hampstead SRA hoax coffin](#)
[Look whom the Hoaxteaders are keen to egg on!](#)
[Is Sacha Stone the new Kevin Annett? A journey down the rabbit-hole](#)
[Becki Percy follows a well-worn path](#)
[In which we learn Araya Soma's real name, and much more](#)
['International Tribunal for Natural Justice' will free the paedophiles!](#)
[The real Hampstead Coverup...revealed!](#)

Archives

[June 2018](#) (23)
[May 2018](#) (33)
[April 2018](#) (31)
[March 2018](#) (33)
[February 2018](#) (28)
[January 2018](#) (32)
[December 2017](#) (31)
[November 2017](#) (28)
[October 2017](#) (29)
[September 2017](#) (30)
[August 2017](#) (30)
[July 2017](#) (25)
[June 2017](#) (33)
[May 2017](#) (30)

- [April 2017](#) (35)
- [March 2017](#) (34)
- [February 2017](#) (29)
- [January 2017](#) (32)
- [December 2016](#) (27)
- [November 2016](#) (34)
- [October 2016](#) (34)
- [September 2016](#) (38)
- [August 2016](#) (40)
- [July 2016](#) (38)
- [June 2016](#) (38)
- [May 2016](#) (37)
- [April 2016](#) (43)
- [March 2016](#) (43)
- [February 2016](#) (46)
- [January 2016](#) (53)
- [December 2015](#) (60)
- [November 2015](#) (70)
- [October 2015](#) (95)
- [September 2015](#) (86)
- [August 2015](#) (181)
- [July 2015](#) (245)
- [June 2015](#) (265)
- [May 2015](#) (329)

Categories

Select Category ▼

Tags

[Abe's phone](#) [Abraham Christie](#) [Angela Power-Disney](#) [anti-Semitic](#) [Araya Soma](#) [arrest](#)
[bail conditions](#) [Belinda McKenzie](#) [blog](#) [Brian Gerrish](#) [Bronwyn Llewellyn](#) [cannabis](#) [charity scam](#)
[Charlotte Ward](#) [child abuse](#) [child sex abuse](#) [images](#) [Chris Spivey](#) [Christine Ann Sands](#) [commercial child](#)
[sexual abuse](#) [Common Law bullshit](#) [conspiracy theories](#) [criminal charges](#) [death threats](#)
[Deborah Mahmoudieh](#) [delusions](#) [Drifloud](#) [Ella Draper](#) [evidence](#) [Facebook](#) [fraud](#) [Hampstead](#)
[hoax](#) [Hampstead Research](#) [harassment](#) [Hoaxtead Research](#) [hypocrisy](#) [injunction](#) [innocent](#)
[victims](#) [IPCC](#) [Jake Clarke](#) [Jean-Clement Yaohirou](#) [Kevin Annett](#) [Kristie Sue Costa](#) [legal issues](#) [legal matters](#) [libel](#)
[lies](#) [Mckenzie Friends](#) [medical evidence](#) [Mel Ve](#) [mental illness](#) [Neelu Berry](#) [paedophiles](#) [Patrick Cullinane](#)
[psychopath](#) [Q*Bert](#) [restraining order](#) [Rupert Quaintance IV](#) [Sabine McNeill](#) [satanic panic](#)
[Satanic ritual abuse](#) [tattoos](#) [threats](#) [Tracey Morris](#) [trial trolls](#) [troofers](#) [Truthers](#) [Twitter](#) [Victories](#) [video](#)
[videos](#) [vigilantes](#) [witch-hunts](#) [witness intimidation](#) [YouTube](#)

Authors



[Scarlet Scoop](#)



[El Coyote](#)

Advertisements



**TEACH
ENGLISH
FROM
HOME!**



APPLY TODAY

[Report this ad](#)

5